Finite-size effects in a mean-field kinetically constrained model: dynamical glassiness

Takahiro Nemoto¹, Shin-ichi Sasa¹, Frédéric van Wijland²

¹Kyoto University ²MSC, Paris 7 University

Buenos Aires – 29th July 2014







DEROT

PARIS

université C

۲

Motivations

Dynamical excitations in glass-forming liquids



From: Keys *et. al* PRX **1** 021013 (2011)

Can we model this simply?

Vivien Lecomte (LPMA – Paris VI-VII)

Finite-size LDF in FA models

	Introduction	Motivations
Example 0:	(in 1D for simplicity)	



Independent sites

• L sites
$$\mathbf{n} = \{n_i\}$$
 with
$$\begin{cases} n_i = 0 & \text{unexcited site} \\ n_i = 1 & \text{excited site} \end{cases}$$

	Introduction	Motivations
Example 0:	(in 1D for simplicity)	



Independent sites

• *L* sites
$$\mathbf{n} = \{n_i\}$$
 with
$$\begin{cases} n_i = 0 & \text{unexcited site} \\ n_i = 1 & \text{excited site} \end{cases}$$

• Transition rates in each site:

• excitation with rate
$$W(0_i \rightarrow 1_i) = c$$

• unexcitation with rate $W(1_i \rightarrow 0_i) = 1 - c$



Equilibrium distribution:
$$P_{eq}(n) = \prod_{i} c^{n_i} (1-c)^{1-n_i}$$

Mean density of excited sites: $\langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i} \langle n_i \rangle = c$

Kinetically constrained models (KCM)

Constrained dynamics: changes occur only around excited sites.



Kinetically constrained models (KCM)

Constrained dynamics: changes occur only around excited sites.



• same equilibrium distribution $P_{eq}(\mathbf{n})$ with & without the constraint

• BUT: ageing, super-Arrhenius slowing down, dynamical heterogeneity

 \rightarrow static free-energy landscape not useful \rightarrow need for a genuinely dynamical description

Space-time "bubbles" of inactivity



From: Merolle, Garrahan and Chandler, PNAS 102, 10837 (2005)

Vivien Lecomte (LPMA - Paris VI-VII)

Finite-size LDF in FA models

Space-time "bubbles" of inactivity



[Fig. by A. Leos Zamorategui]

Questions

Active and inactive histories having a probability of the same order Coexistence of dynamical phases?

- How to describe a dynamical 1st order phase transition?
- Dynamical Landau free-energy landscape? (*i.e.* competition between different optima)

Activity of histories: order parameter

Activity K = number of events = (# excitations) + (# unexcitations)

(Dynamical) canonical ensemble

- β conjugated to energy
- s conjugated to activity K

(statics) (dynamics)

Activity of histories: order parameter

Activity \mathbf{K} = number of events = (# excitations) + (# unexcitations)

(Dynamical) canonical ensemble

- β conjugated to energy
- s conjugated to activity K

s-ensemble: $\begin{cases} s < 0 : \text{ more active histories } (\text{"large" activity } K > \bar{K}) \\ s = 0 : \text{ equilibrium state } (\text{equilib. activity } K = \bar{K}) \\ s > 0 : \text{ less active histories } (\text{"small" activity } K < \bar{K}) \end{cases}$

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{s} = \frac{\langle e^{-sK} \rangle}{\langle e^{-sK} \rangle} \quad \langle e^{-sK} \rangle \sim e^{t\psi(s)}$$

$$P(K \simeq kt, t) \sim e^{t\pi(k)} \quad \psi(s) = \max_{k} \left\{ \pi(k) - sk \right\}$$

(statics) (dynamics)

Dynamical phase transition: FA model (d=1)

Density of excitations $\rho(s)$ depending on histories.



Comparison between constrained and unconstrained dynamics

Finite-size LDF in FA models

Dynamical Landau free-energy landscape $\mathcal{F}(\rho, s)$



Dynamical free energy:



"Mean-field" version of the FA model:

(on a complete graph)

$$A + A \rightleftharpoons_{1-c}^{c} A$$

"Mean-field" version of the FA model:

(on a complete graph)

$$A + A \xrightarrow[1-c]{c} A$$

Rates for number *n* of excitations (with *L* sites):

$$W_{+}(n) \equiv W(n \rightarrow n+1) = c(L-n)\frac{n}{L}$$
$$W_{-}(n) \equiv W(n \rightarrow n-1) = (1-c)n\frac{n-1}{L}$$

Kinetic constraint \propto number of excited neighbours

Extremalization principle:

$$\psi(s) = -\min_{P
eq 0} rac{\langle P| - \mathbb{W}^{\mathsf{sym}}_{oldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}}(s)|P
angle}{\langle P|P
angle}$$

Extremalization principle:

$$\psi(s) = -\min_{P
eq 0} rac{\langle P| - \mathbb{W}^{\mathsf{sym}}_{oldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}}(s)|P
angle}{\langle P|P
angle}$$

Thermodynamic limit (finite density $\rho = \frac{n}{L}$): $P(n) \sim e^{-Lf(n/L)}$

$$\frac{1}{L}\psi(s) = -\min_{\rho}\left\{-2e^{-s}\sqrt{W_+W_-} + W_+ + W_-\right\}$$

Extremalization principle:

$$\psi(s) = -\min_{P
eq 0} rac{\langle P| - \mathbb{W}^{\mathsf{sym}}_{oldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}}(s)|P
angle}{\langle P|P
angle}$$

Thermodynamic limit (finite density $\rho = \frac{n}{L}$): $P(n) \sim e^{-Lf(n/L)}$

$$\frac{1}{L}\psi(s) = -\min_{\rho} \left\{ -2e^{-s}\sqrt{W_+W_-} + W_+ + W_- \right\}$$
$$= -\min_{\rho} \mathcal{F}(\rho, s)$$

Vivien Lecomte (LPMA – Paris VI-VII)

Extremalization principle:

$$\psi(s) = -\min_{P
eq 0} rac{\langle P| - \mathbb{W}^{\mathsf{sym}}_{oldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}}(s)|P
angle}{\langle P|P
angle}$$

Thermodynamic limit (finite density $\rho = \frac{n}{L}$): $P(n) \sim e^{-Lf(n/L)}$

$$\frac{1}{L}\psi(s) = -\min_{\rho} \left\{ -2e^{-s}\sqrt{W_+W_-} + W_+ + W_- \right\}$$
$$= -\min_{\rho} \mathcal{F}(\rho, s)$$

One can also use Donsker-Varadhan

$$\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathsf{sK}} \delta \Big(\frac{1}{Lt} \int_0^t dt' \ \mathsf{n}(t') = \rho \Big) \right\rangle \sim \mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{LF}(\rho,\mathsf{s})}$$

Mean-field version of the FA model:





Mean-field version of the FA model:

$$f_{\mathsf{K}}(s) = \min_{\rho} \mathcal{F}(\rho, s)$$
$$= \mathcal{F}(\rho(s), s)$$



Mean-field version of the FA model:





Rounding of the first-order transition

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Finite-size effects: required to understand $P(K,t)$} \\ \mbox{Scale of fluctuations: $$s = \frac{\lambda}{L}$} & (\mbox{transition at $\lambda_c > 0$}) \end{array}$

Rounding of the first-order transition

Finite-size effects: required to understand P(K, t)Scale of fluctuations: $s = \frac{\lambda}{L}$ (transition at $\lambda_c > 0$)



Rounding of the first-order transition

Finite-size effects: required to understand P(K, t)Scale of fluctuations: $s = \frac{\lambda}{L}$ (transition at $\lambda_c > 0$)



Fine finite-size scaling: $\lambda = \lambda_c + e^{-\alpha L}x$

Extremalization principle:

$$\psi(\mathbf{s}) = -\min_{P \neq 0} rac{\langle P| - \mathbb{W}_{\boldsymbol{K}}^{\mathsf{sym}}(\mathbf{s})|P
angle}{\langle P|P
angle}$$

Large-deviation form for the eigenvector: $P(n) \sim e^{-Lf(n/L)}$

- \star infinite-size limit: one only needs $\rho = \arg\min\,f$
- \star in a window around λ_c : one needs more

Extremalization principle:

$$\psi(\mathbf{s}) = -\min_{P \neq 0} rac{\langle P| - \mathbb{W}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathsf{sym}}(\mathbf{s})|P
angle}{\langle P|P
angle}$$

Large-deviation form for the eigenvector: $P(n) \sim e^{-Lf(n/L)}$

- \star infinite-size limit: one only needs $\rho = \arg\min\,f$
- \star in a window around λ_c : one needs more

Equation for $f(\rho)$ (from extremalization)

Extremalization principle:

$$\psi(\mathbf{s}) = -\min_{P \neq 0} rac{\langle P| - \mathbb{W}_{K}^{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathbf{s})|P \rangle}{\langle P|P
angle}$$

Large-deviation form for the eigenvector: $P(n) \sim e^{-Lf(n/L)}$

- \star infinite-size limit: one only needs $\rho = \operatorname{argmin}\, f$
- \star in a window around λ_c : one needs more

Equation for $f(\rho)$ (from extremalization) Exactly at coexistence ($\lambda = \lambda_c$): non-analyticity of $f(\rho)$

$$P(n) = P_{\text{inactive}}^{n < n_c}(n) + P_{\text{active}}^{n \ge n_c}(n)$$

Around coexistence ($\lambda \simeq \lambda_c$):

$$P(n) = (1 + a(s))P_{\text{inactive}}^{n < n_c}(n) + (1 - a(s))P_{\text{active}}^{n \ge n_c}(n)$$

Extremalization principle:

$$\psi(\mathbf{s}) = -\min_{P \neq 0} rac{\langle P| - \mathbb{W}_{K}^{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathbf{s})|P \rangle}{\langle P|P
angle}$$

Large-deviation form for the eigenvector: $P(n) \sim e^{-Lf(n/L)}$

- \star infinite-size limit: one only needs $\rho = \operatorname{argmin}\, f$
- \star in a window around λ_c : one needs more

Equation for $f(\rho)$ (from extremalization) Exactly at coexistence ($\lambda = \lambda_c$): non-analyticity of $f(\rho)$

$$P(n) = P_{\text{inactive}}^{n < n_c}(n) + P_{\text{active}}^{n \ge n_c}(n)$$

Around coexistence $(\lambda \simeq \lambda_c)$: [in a good basis]

$$P(n) = (1 + a(s)) P_{\text{inactive}}^{n < n_c}(n) + (1 - a(s)) P_{\text{active}}^{n \ge n_c}(n)$$

Extremalization principle:

$$\psi(\mathbf{s}) = -\min_{P \neq 0} rac{\langle P| - \mathbb{W}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathsf{sym}}(\mathbf{s})|P \rangle}{\langle P|P
angle}$$

Large-deviation form for the eigenvector: $P(n) \sim e^{-Lf(n/L)}$

- \star infinite-size limit: one only needs $\rho = \operatorname{argmin}\, f$
- \star in a window around λ_c : one needs more

Equation for $f(\rho)$ (from extremalization) Exactly at coexistence ($\lambda = \lambda_c$): non-analyticity of $f(\rho)$

$$P(n) = P_{\text{inactive}}^{n < n_c}(n) + P_{\text{active}}^{n \ge n_c}(n)$$

Around coexistence $(\lambda \simeq \lambda_c)$: [in a good basis] [sub-finite-size effects]

$$P(n) = (1 + a(s)) P_{\text{inactive}}^{n < n_c}(n) + (1 - a(s)) P_{\text{active}}^{n \ge n_c}(n)$$

Summary

First-order dynamical phase transition

- \star competition between active and inactive region in space-time
- * dynamical heterogeneities

"Mean-field" model (complete graph)

- * Dynamical Landau free-energy landscape
- ★ finite-size effects

Perspectives:

- * Finite dimension? [T Bodineau, VL, C Toninelli, JSP 2012]
- ⋆ Finite time? (Gap, spectal density)
- ★ Other models?
- * Link to 1st order quantum phase transition

Thank you for your attention!

References:

- Takahiro Nemoto, Vivien Lecomte, Shin-ichi Sasa, Frédéric van Wijland arxiv:1405.1658 (2014)
- Juan P. Garrahan, Robert L. Jack, Vivien Lecomte, Estelle Pitard, Kristina van Duijvendijk and Frédéric van Wijland, J. Phys. A 42 075007 (2009)

We assume detailed balance: $P_{eq}(\mathcal{C})W(\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}') = P_{eq}(\mathcal{C}')W(\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C})$ Maximization principle:

$$\psi(s) = \max_{P} rac{\langle P | \mathbb{W}^{\mathrm{sym}}_{K}(s) | P
angle}{\langle P | P
angle}$$

We assume detailed balance: $P_{eq}(\mathcal{C})W(\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}') = P_{eq}(\mathcal{C}')W(\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C})$ Maximization principle:

$$\psi(s) = \max_{P} rac{\langle P | \mathbb{W}^{\text{sym}}_{K}(s) | P
angle}{\langle P | P
angle}$$

What is ^{₩sym}?

$$\mathbb{W}_{\mathcal{C}'\mathcal{C}} = W(\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}') - r(\mathcal{C})\delta_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}'}$$

Symetrization by $R = P_{eq}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{C})\delta_{\mathcal{CC}'}$: $\mathbb{W}^{sym} = R^{-1}\mathbb{W}R$

We assume detailed balance: $P_{eq}(\mathcal{C})W(\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}') = P_{eq}(\mathcal{C}')W(\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C})$ Maximization principle:

$$\psi(s) = \max_{P} \frac{\langle P | \mathbb{W}_{K}^{\text{sym}}(s) | P \rangle}{\langle P | P \rangle}$$

What is ^{₩sym}?

$$\mathbb{W}_{\mathcal{C}'\mathcal{C}} = W(\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}') - r(\mathcal{C})\delta_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}'}$$

Symetrization by $R = P_{eq}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{C})\delta_{\mathcal{CC}'}$: $\mathbb{W}^{sym} = R^{-1}\mathbb{W}R$

$$(\mathbb{W}^{\mathsf{sym}})_{\mathcal{C}'\mathcal{C}} = [W(\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}')W(\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C})]^{\frac{1}{2}} - r(\mathcal{C})\delta_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}'}$$

we have

$$(\mathbb{W}^{\mathrm{sym}})^{\dagger} = \mathbb{W}^{\mathrm{sym}}$$

We assume detailed balance: $P_{eq}(\mathcal{C})W(\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}') = P_{eq}(\mathcal{C}')W(\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C})$ Maximization principle:

$$\psi(s) = \max_{P} rac{\langle P | \mathbb{W}_{K}^{\text{sym}}(s) | P
angle}{\langle P | P
angle}$$

What is $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbf{K}}^{sym}$?

$$(\mathbb{W}_{\mathbf{K}})_{\mathcal{C}'\mathcal{C}} = e^{-s} W(\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}') - r(\mathcal{C}) \delta_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}'}$$

Symetrization by $R = P_{eq}^{\frac{1}{2}}(C)\delta_{CC'}$: $\mathbb{W}_{K}^{sym} = R^{-1}\mathbb{W}_{K}R$

$$(\mathbb{W}^{\text{sym}}_{\boldsymbol{K}})_{\mathcal{C}'\mathcal{C}} = \boldsymbol{e}^{-\boldsymbol{s}}[W(\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}')W(\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C})]^{\frac{1}{2}} - \boldsymbol{r}(\mathcal{C})\delta_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}'}$$

we have

$$(\mathbb{W}^{\rm sym}_{\underline{K}})^{\dagger} = \mathbb{W}^{\rm sym}_{\underline{K}}$$